

DIDN'T REPORT ON M'AUFLIFFE.

Police Neglected to Inform Jerome's Homicide Bureau.

TAKEN AS SIGNIFICANT.

District-Attorney's Office Now Confident that Glennon Witness Was Murdered.

James McAudiffe, the dead Glennon witness, was assaulted somewhere on the west side early Sunday morning. He was lifted senseless into a car and driven about the city until a quiet block was found.

A policeman then found him where he had "fallen" in Sixth avenue.

This is the theory now advanced by his friends. That he was murdered seems certain.

An Evening World reporter relayed the movements of McAudiffe for two hours after he left the home of his sister on Saturday night.

"McAudiffe used to work for my husband, who is a physician," said Mrs. Anna Ryan, who has a brother, John, at No. 83 Eleventh avenue, yesterday.

"He came to my brother's right about 8:30. He was able to take care of himself and could talk, I think, but had some injury in him, and said he had been up to see his sister and was feeling very bad. Clothes, ten, him five cents, he said, and said he would have to walk home. I told him he was walking up Eleventh avenue in the direction of his home."

McAudiffe left the home of his sister three blocks away from Mrs. Ryan's grocery store, at 6:20 o'clock. It is believed that he had no money then and his sister says he had not been drinking.

I DID NOT KISS DEL SOL-MRS. OAKES

DEFENDANT IN DIVORCE SUIT DENIES CHARGES.

Del Sol, the Co-respondent, Excluded from Room During Time She Testifies.

Little Paul Oakes, the nine-year-old son of Francis J. and Adeline Estelle Sullivan Oakes, was not put on the stand to-day to testify against his mother in his father's suit for absolute divorce, and the case for the husband was concluded at noon.

Mrs. Oakes took the witness stand in her own defense.

Her lawyer began with a staggering blow, the effect of which can be counteracted only by a repetition by little Paul of his story of the "lovers' greeting kiss" at the Liverpool pier, and the child will probably be called in again.

Mr. Himmel called John D. Arthur, an Alsatian at No. 16 East Twenty-third street, as his first witness. Mr. Arthur testified that he went to Europe on the same steamer with Mrs. Oakes, saw her leave the ship at Liverpool, and she met Del Sol—but did not see them kiss.

The first words of Mrs. Oakes as a witness were:

"I did not kiss Mr. Del Sol. He did not kiss me, then or at any other time. The charges of my husband are all false; entirely false."

Mrs. Oakes gave John K. Erskine Jr. of her attorney's counsel, a very comfortable afternoon.

Young Mr. Erskine is suing his wife for divorce, and the trial of the case is to begin at the conclusion of the Oakes case.

The defense of the Oakes case is in the hands of Mrs. Erskine.

Mr. Oakes had testified that Mr. Oakes was intensely jealous and that she volunteered this.

"Mr. Erskine was the bosom friend of my son and the best friend of my son at the house. One day I spoke to him about Mr. Oakes. I said I was very much worried about him and that he was insatiable and jealous and had unjust suspicions of me."

"Mr. Erskine replied, 'You don't worry about him and the like, but you do something like the sending of the letters.'

Mrs. Oakes also added to her denial of the pistol scenes that the other girls, as ever had, been good and pure, was on the occasions when she had been intoxicated and she took the pistol away from him.

Mr. Del Sol, the co-respondent, accompanied by co-respondent, accepted the fall down behind Mrs. Oakes his first appearance in the court. Instantly cold Mason moved that he be excused from the jury, and the trial was adjourned until the morning when the jury will be impaneled.

George Gordon Battie, who was attorney for Mr. Himmel, Europe, testified that Weyman, the elevator boy of his office, had told him nothing about the Oakes case last fall.

CITY PAYS LESS FOR CALVES.

Health Board Awards Contract at \$6 Each.

The Health Board today awarded the contract for supplying calves to the department for the purpose of preparing virus for the preparation of vaccine virus to John Adler, of No. 112 Eleventh avenue.

Adler has had the contract at \$8 per head, but the contract was given to him this time on a bid of \$6.

PENNSYLVANIA ROAD WINS.

Track-Crossing Question Settled and Long Fight Ended.

(Special to The Evening World.)

NORTHFIELD, N. J., Feb. 19.—The long and bitter fight between the New Jersey Terminal Railroad, a branch of the Pennsylvania Railroad, and the Sound Shore branch of the Central over the right to enter Woodbridge Township has finally ended to-day, when Chancellor George E. King, state referee, ruled in the method in which the New Jersey Terminal shall cross the tracks of the Central railroad.

The Chancellor's order permits the construction of a grade crossing with special provisions for guarding the tracks with a double set of gates. This is a victory for the Pennsylvania road. The Central is trying to keep it out.

VALET'S STRIKING STORY OF RICE PLOT SHOWS HIS OWN SHARE IN THE CONSPIRACY TO GET HOLD OF RICE'S MILLIONS.



PATRICK GLARES AT VALET JONES.

(Continued from First Page.)

about that? A. I don't recall any other use now.

Q. State all the conversation between you and Patrick up to Jan. 1.

Showed Form of Will.

"He did show me the form of a will about Dec. 1, 1898. It was a draft of a will of William M. Rice. Patrick said me what my salary was. I said I was getting \$6 a month. He said I was worth more, that I ought to have double, and that if I went into a deal with him he would see that I got more."

Said He Took Too Much.

"The will of '98 was witnessed by W. S. Palmer and W. D. Wetherbee of Savings Bank. Patrick said he had brought it to me and he gave it to me to sign. I signed it. Patrick said the relatives got double or three times the amount under his will, they would help him more."

Q. Did he say he had taken **altogether** too much of the property under his will? **He said all the heirs would be employing lawyers to help probate this will because they were getting double or three times the amount?**

Q. What happened next? A. Patrick told me he was going to sell the will to one witness. A. This one was shown to him. He said he would agree to one witness, and I must be the other.

I refused because I wanted to be a beneficiary and did not think I could be a beneficiary and witness, too. He

then took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There were many mistakes in it. He will, he said, be

able to type it over.

Q. What became of it? A. Mr. Patrick took it away. There